HITTITE BRONZES AND OTHER NEAR EASTERN FIGURINES IN THE FOGG ART MUSEUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY

M. A. HANFMANN and P. HANSEN

We are grateful to the former Director Gener .:: 1 of Antiquities and Museums, Dr. Caihiit Kinay, for his ikfod invitation to contribute to the series Türk Arkeoloji Dergisi and to the 'pl"esent Director General, Dr. Kamil Su for his courteous assistance. We ta'ke this oc casion to our best wishe·s upon the express revival of this notable periodical as an organ of Turkish and international scholarsihip.

it seemed suitable to present in the following article three Hhtite bronzes which have to the Fogg Museum. We havse add:::d fo.ur. oither pileoes, a Phoie-niciian terracotita ih ad, a go'ait, which may have co:ne from a border region of Anatolia, a bull, which for a while was considered Ur,.'.!rtean, and finally a Ba- bylonian terracotta fiigurine (1).

The bronze figurines made in the second and first millenium in Anatolia, Syria, Phoenicia, and Palestine are as yet imperfectly classified and dat d. A v:liant attempt to bring some order

Valentin Müller (2). A substantial number of pieces has been brought to- getiher by H. Th. Bossert, and the ma- terrial bearing on Phoenicia has been surveyed by R. Dussaud (3). Some addition:11 pieces and groups have been treated since, and a brilliant discussion of the "Lebanese Mountain" group has just been published by H. Seyrig (4). Unfor,tunatdy, 'the majority of the bronze figurines known have come from scientifically supervised excavations; an-d the pieces th-1t have been excavated

⁽i) We are indebted to R. J. Gettens, formerly Chief of Technical Research of the Fogg Art Museum and now Fellow for Technical Research at the Freer Art Gal-lery, Washington, D.C., for the analysis of the bull head, no. 5, which we include as an Appendix. Additional information on on technical aspects was given by Bruno Bearzi, of the Uffizi Gallery, Florence. Mr. Perlie Dyar Chase generously gave permis-

sion to include in this article a terracotta head in his possession. To R. J. Barnett we owe a debt of gratitude for information on pieces in the British Museum.

into this material had been made by

⁽¹⁾ Frühe Plastik in Griechenland und Vorderasien (1929), chapters VI and VII, pls. 36-45; also AJA 36 (1932) 14.

⁽²⁾ Altanatolien (1942) figs. 348-368, 581-596, 606-616, 1165-1174, 1183. Altsyrien (1951) figs. 158, 159-161, 570, 573-618, 1181. R. Dussaud, L'art phenicien du Ile miJlenaire (1949), 52, ff., figs. 18-21, 25-34, 27, 43-45.

⁽³⁾ Ugarit: **C.F.A.** Schaeffer, *Ugaritica* 1 (1938) 126 ff. *Ugaritica* 2 (1949) 79 ff., figs. 31, 34, pls. 17-21.

Byblos: P. Montet, *Byblos et l'Egypte*, Haut Commiss. Syrie, Service des Art. *Bibi. archeol. hist.* II (1929) pls. 50 f., 61.

A. Parrot, *Syria* 29 (1952) 44 ff., pls. 1-2 E. Forada, *Berytus* 8 (1942) 57 ff., pl. 8.

G. Loud, *Megiddo* 2 (1948) = OIP 62, pls. 233-239.

W. Deonna, "Statuettes de bronze syriennes". *Musees Suisses* 1 (1948) 4-7, figs. 1-2.

G.M.A. Hanfmann, *Arch Anz* 50 (1953) 50 ff. *Altetruskische Plastik* (1936) 24 ff., 55 ff.; and *Archaeology* 6 (1953) 229.

H. Seyrig, "Statuettes trouvees dans les montagnes du Liban", *Syria* 30 (1953) 24 ff., pls. 9-12, with further bibliography.

F. Poulsen, Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek, 2. *Tillaeg til Billedtavler* (1941) pl. 17, Br. 2-5.

have tended to empha,size the great diversity of existing loca! styles and the difficulty of d:aiting typie's, many of which seem to have persisted over long periods of time. One of the most popular mo:tif·s, thie lancie - iswing:iing wartlior, is represented by examples from Byıblos, Lebanon, Syria, Ant.: rados, Tortous; Şarkışla (Vilayet Sivas); in Crete, Myceniaie and Tfryns; a 'hoiairid on Defos; in Thermoin; anid fi.niailly ,iin Eaist • Prussia. Y.e-t ithie 1:, me range oannoit be nairrO'We'd down beyond the iStan'te1::nen,t tihat such warrior bronzes occurred from the fourteenth to the eighth eighth century B.C. (5) We have as ye:t muc•h :t•o e.airn and the suggestion whiich we make must needs be regarded as tentative.

(1). Firgs. 1, 2, 12 a. Mairclbring maile figure wiit'h coni ical cap and toirquie. Museum number 1943.1120. Grenville L. Winthrop Beque.st. 13.7 cm., with<?ut base 11.4 cm.

The bronze is cast solid, apparently in one piece with the smal'l flat platform and a large looped peg below the base. The right hand is missing; and the front left corner of the platform is slightly bent. The head is also forced out of its original vertical position. Patina runs fr.om M1ac'l i,sh hrown ;to dark oHve. The metal underneath seems to be a very coppery bronze, reddish gold in color. The lower part of the platform and the looped peg underneath are left rough, but the figurine is tooled to careful smoothness. Traces abrasive tooling can be discerned under

magnification - parallel thin fine lines, as H from wires. A rather large cutting tool was used for such details as edge of cap, mouth, fingers, toes, and hem of garment. The deep holes for -the eyes, which were presumably fitted with inlays, seem to have been gouged with a drill or punch. The man wears a tal!, rounded conical cap, Which is separated from his forehead, but mereges into head and neck in the back. A torque, ca'S't sepai-aitiely, is clamped around hi:1s weck, ithe e,nds mieeitiirug on 1the nape. in his left hand he holds a goblet; so;ne light stro'kes decorate its edge.

in its present state, the upper part of the body of the figurine seems to be nude. He wears a thick 'kilt reaching to his iknees; the decorated 'hem of an "overf.old" is indicated over the upper left le,g by rather crude diagonal stro-kes. He is barefoot. The figurine was originally covered with some other me-tal, probably with thin 'gold leaf; lon.g deep grooves desiegned to fasten such overlay run from top of cap to between the shoulder blades; on 1both upper arms (cf. Fig. 2); down his right side from armpit to lower edge of kilt; and down tihe back side of botih lower legs. Simi- lar grooves and a somewhat similar ba- se are seen on a figurine from Tartous (6), whileh also thad ,:tttlaiiid iey:es. The technique of covering figurine with gold leaf is represented in Pales- t·ine. Phoenicia, Syria, Anatolia. If

we may take a Hittiite figuriinie pur chased 1:. ¶ İzmir as a 'guide, thie face as well as the body and garments were so covered (7). The sharp cut-ting of fea-

⁽⁴⁾ **V.** Mililer, *op. cit.*, 112 ff., who also lists pieces from Baalbak, Killiz, Kutahia, and Troy. Cf. G. Loud, *Megiddo* 2 (1948) pls. 235, 239, from Levels IX and **V** B.

Şarkışla: **N.** Özgüç, *Dergi* 5 (1949) 36, 52, figs. 13-14, now in Hittite Museum, An-kara. Probably late Hittite Empire.

The hoard under the Artemisium of Delos contained objects from the fourteenth through the eighth century B.C. J.Delorme, *BCH* 71-72, (1947-48) 148-261, pl. 39.

⁽⁵⁾ Louvre. Dussaud, *op. cit.*, 54 f., figs. 20-21; Perrot-chipiez, *Hist. de !'art* 3 (1885) fig. 277.

⁽⁶⁾ Berlin, Vorderasiatische Abteilung. Bossert *Alt A.* 6:,, figs. 587-588. "Hittite Empire".

G. Loud, *Megiddo* 2 (*OIP* 62, 1948) pl. 273, stratum VI or VII. Hama: Bossert *AS* fig. 606, "wohl Neues Reich" though found

tures, par,ticularly of nose and mouth, is caused in part by the need for clearly defined forms over 10r foto chich the gold leaf could be bent.

Tihe piece is clearly des,igned as a unit with the base, as the pegs continue the line of lower legs. in its basic construction figurine displays .the curious intermingling of flatness and roundness. Alıthough quite thick and well - rounded about the shoulders, the upper part of the body is flat like a slab The lower p.art with the 'kilt is well - rounded and turned diagonally. The head, too, is quite ,three - dimensional w:itth iist roumdided c niecik. ·Niose, cihin, arms, and left leg jut energetically forward. The large feet are planted firmly on the ground so that the wal-king motion is not too emphatic.

1t ,is difficult to envis-'.l'ge the original glowing appearance of this walking man, to which the vitality of inlaid eyes must have contributed greatly. As ,it stands now, :thi! figure has an a,i,r tof compac,t power ain,d a hiin:t of a feroc,ioius :grl:.in which s,eiems 'to iannimate 1:1he angular features of tihe face.

As so often, -it is difficult to decide whether a god or a humarı is represen- teid. in prin'C'i,p1'e, a wa:lkinig fügure carrying a vase denotes an "offering" therefore a ministrant, a king or a priest. On the other hand, the gilding would seem to speak in favor of a di- vine personage. The vase is held by gods on seals of Syro - Cappadocian anid of ,the Seooinid Syrhn Gmups (1600- 1350 B.C. (8). A conicail vase is carriied by

a nude male bronze figure and by a draped female figure with polos (crown) from Syria (9). Finally, a goblet is carried as an offering by a queen on **a** discovered ivory relief from Ugarit (10). Tihe cap, in this simple form, without thorns, tis not infrequent but also not conclusi,ve, as its wearers are tnemse[vie,s not dos'ely unii,dierit.ified (11). On the ,seals of the Second Syr,i an grou.p maLe fiiguiries wii<tlh :rathier simlrlar ",r,ounided" -or ",oval" caip different garment,s are described as gods for kings, in one instance, a walking frigure with this headgear spouted vase and is identified as a god; in another, he seems to have a double torque around his neck (12).

in level E, 1000-700 B.C. Dussaud, *op. cit.*, 54 ff., (Tortous and Byblos) 62 ff., Ugarit, also figs. 31, 34.

⁽⁷⁾ E. Porada, Corpus of Ancieut Near Eastern Seals, 1, P. Morgan Library (Bollingen Series 14, 1948) 114, 126, nos. 900, 947, 949. For use of vases in temples of Ugarit, cf, C.F.A. Schaeffer, Ugaritica 2 (1949) 45 f.; and fig. 13 for another seal showing a walking figure with jug arid cup.

⁽⁸⁾ A shall walking Hittite Bronze figure with cap holding a similar cup is in the collection of Mr. Albert Gallatin; but the style is quite different. Bronze with conical vase held by standing man: V. Müller, op. cit. 127, 132, pl. 39, fig. 388; Gottheil, Studies in Hist. of Religion presented to C. H. Toy (N.Y. 1912) 361 ff., pl. Müller quotes for vases, Jdr 42 (1927) 7. Standing worman: Bossert AS fig. 584, no description.

⁽⁹⁾ lvory: **C.F.A.** Schaeffer, *Illustrated Landon News* (March 27, 1954) 489, fig. 7.

⁽¹¹⁾ Bossert: *Alt. A.* fig. 587, İzmir; 591, Tarsus; 609, Arapkir; 618, Alishar; 716, Cilician seal; Müller, *op. cit.*, 115 ff., assigns the first to Syria, the other two to Asia Mi- nor and quotes for the "flattened cone cap" a bronze from Baalbek, Lortet, *La Syrie* (1884) p. 611, reproduced; and figs. 401, Hamburg; 403, from Thermon; 411, Berlin.

[&]quot;Syrian Idols", Ny Carlsberg, *z. Tillaeg*, pl. 17, Br. 4-6. The majority of figurines then, seem to belong to Asia Minor. The bronzes, Müller, 112, fig. 399, from Lebanon and the bronzes from Tartou·s, Bossert *AS* fig. 580, are warriors and their thinner head gear intended for helmet.

Seated gods from Megiddo: Dussaud, fig. 44. G. Loud, *Megiddo* 2 (1948) pl. 235, Level IX, 237 f., Level IV or VII (1400-1100 B.C.).

⁽¹²⁾ Porada, *Corpus*, 125 f., 131, 134, discusses these figures with "oval headgear", nos.: 944, 949 (vase), 950 E (torque?), 952, 973 E (king), 989, 1025 E. Schaeffer *Ugaritica*

much is clear - that the cap is worn in sc,en-e's of paecdul offer,ing or lbounay and is not intended as a helmet. The kilt and the torque do not seem to provide decisive evidence, since they are worm by gods well as by hum3Jl1G,

There is one difference between the figures on the Syrian seals and the Fogg Hgurine; none of the walking "oval cap" figures of the seals wears the kilt with overfold on left thigh, though other figures of the seals do. The kilt occurs in the time of the Hhitiitie Empire and ifal "Laitie Hi:titiitie" art, but there seems to be no exact way of ,dieitermifaling :i-ts e:a-rl:iest occurrence (13).

If the c.:,p and the kilt would seem to permit any date from 1600 to 1200 B.C. or even later, the torque points to the upper Hn!i'.'t 10f ,this range. C.F.,A. Schaeffer has argued that the torque-bearers and the remarkable figurines wearing torques belong in the Middle Bronze phase (c::1 2100 -1800) of Syria and Phoenicia, and while this may be putting matters too precessely, it seems on the whole probable that the Fogg figurine cannot be separated by too long an interval from other figurines whkh wear t•o['que,s (14).

To proceed tQ physical det.a:ils of the figurines, the large head with emphatic, angular feature:s seems nea- rest to some Syrian warriors placed by

E. Forada about 1700 (15), to the fiigurines from Firnis and İzmir (*Alt. An.* 584 - 587), a head from Jabbul (Gabbul), and a bronze from Mishrife (16).

Looking at the bronze from the ibronze from the viewpoint of style it is eas:,er to say what :t i:is not than wha:t i,t is. It is not one of ithie typical "By
blos bronzes" (17). it does not show any pronounced Egyptianizing traits characteristic of Reshef figurines and

.:: certain number of ot er Syrian bronz,eis (18). it do,e,s not 'belong to itihe very stri:kinig group of "heavy - weights", large, heavy, :nen and women with huge heads who w re mad,e in t:hie mounJtaJ:ns of Lebanon (19). On the otiher hand, it does not belong with the small group of figurinies, mostly ,in pre·oious materials which are so similar to the large sculptures of Boğazköy and Y::izilikaya as to be virtuaUy certain witnesses of the art of the Hittite court (20).

^{2 (1949) 42,} fig. 16, god accompanied by lion (1600-1365 B.C.). However, Bossert, *AS* fig. 825, calls figures of this kind "worship-pers". Cf. *Alt.A.* fig. 716, from Cilicia = Hogarth *AS* 6:181, seal of Indilimma, servant of İṣ-chara.

⁽¹³⁾ C.F.A. Schaeffer, *Ugaritica* ² (1949) 78, remarks that the kilt is worn under the cloak and taken off in strenuous action. He considers that it may b.ave come from Egypt, For laterusage cf. E. Akurgal, *Spaethethi-tische Buldunst* (1949) 30 f., who observes that with Late Hittites the "kilt" is actually part of a short "Leibrock" covering the up- per part of the body.

⁽¹⁴⁾ C.F.A. Schaeffer, *op. cit.*, 71 ff., fig. 31, pls. 17 ff., dates the Ugarit silver figures with gold torques ca 2000-1800 B.C. He identifies the torque bearers as gods. Cf. Bossert,

AS fig. 598, from Homs. Bossert, AS fig. 58.; (genuine?) seems to have four torques. The Megiddo figurines with neck-rings or torques ha:ve a wider time range. G. Loud, *Megiddo* 2, pls. 233: 4-5, 234: 13, 235: 20, 23, from Levels XIII to VII.

⁽¹⁵⁾ E. Forada, Berytus (1942),. 57 ff.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Bossert, AS, figs, 576, 434, 585-587. The Ugaritic figure, 19th-18th century, has a similar nose; the Jabbul head shows a similar "slashed" formation of the mouth and outline of the cap. It is dated by Bossert around the middle of the second millennium.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For example, Dussaud, fig 18.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Dussaud, figs. 29, 34, 37. V. Müller, figs. 372, 374.

⁽¹⁹⁾ V. Müller, 107 ff., figs. 376-386. Bossert, *AS* figs. 588-591, 607-609. Hanfmann, *Arch. Anz.* 50 (1935) 52, figs. 2,· 4. A list of thirty-one pieces is given by Seyrig, *Syria* 3:1 (1953) 26-30. He dates them 2000-1500 B.C.

⁽²⁰⁾ V. Müller, 104 ff., figs. 369, 371. Bossert, *Alt. A.*, figs. 589-596. On the bronzes, figs. 581-583, see below.

We must therefore seek among the less well - defined material for parallels for details and see to what degree the results inay be confirmed on general grounds of styrr,e.

The "oval", cap seems to represent an adaptation of the Hiuite - type head- gear to the outline of Eigyptian crown. This assimilation was most likely to along the Syrian and Phoenkian coast, wihere Egyptian and Hittite fas- hions minigled. Figurines wi.th compa- rable headgear come from Eastern Ana- tolia, Syria, and Palestine: As far as they are datable - and only that in Megi-ddo comes from a stratifiled exca-vation - t'hey have been da:ted in the se- cond millenium and usually in the time of the Hittite Empire. If the parallel with "oval" caps seen on the seals of the Second Syrien ,group is valid, it would provide an approximate location and a time - range from 1600 - 1350 B.C. These comparisons then point to the ra:nge from 1700 - 1400 ¹B. C. and 1to Nortlh Syria as a possible place of origin.

If we attempt to draw an outline of styllinsliic idevelopment for some Noi-rth Syrian bronze figurines, it would seem ito run from the Sub-Sumerian figures of TielH Jeide, i.deh (21) it, o the fla:t iidoils of Ug.arit (22) where the "bird nose" p ofile indicates the survival of a tra-dition related to Tel1 J edeideh. Porada's "warriors with the feather helmet" form the next sit, ep (23). 'fihen a morie

corporeal sty le appears to set in; its distinctive characteristic lies in the rounding of previously angular forms and in nearly sensuous refinement of metal surfaces. In this development the famous sea:teid godde ss in the Louv- re (24) may represent an earlier phase, of the same school that later produced the Fogg Hgurine. In grim expressive- ness, the head from Jabbul is probably the nearest kin (25).

Still later the same Syrian school developed toward greater softness and aniimation. The famous, closely rebted walking figures frorli Latakieh and Boğazköy (26) still recall some aspects of the Fogg figurine. By this time, (1350-1250? B.C.) not only figurines but even artisans may have travelled from Syria to the Hittite c-1pital.

(2) Firgs. 3-4. Lar,ge -seatie,d made firgure with conical cap. Musi;:um number 1943.1119. Grenville L. Winthrop Bequest. Provenance unknown. H. 31.5 cm. According to Bruno Bearzi, cast solid in a isand moulid. Partl:y ipil.tted; corrodied metiall on ears and undier ai,ms. The lat,ter may be a different metal and come from material used to fasten the figure to the throne.

There is little if any e, vidence of detail work with chisel. This accounts for the vague c.3s,t of features. 'fihe

⁽²¹⁾ Tel1 Jedeideh: Bossert, *Alt. A.*, figs. 437-438, ca. 2800-2000 B.C. Seyrig, *loc. cit.*, 45, pi. 12.

⁽²²⁾ Schaeffer, *Ugaritica* 2 (1949) 82 f., pis. 17 ff. Bossert, *AS*, figs. 592-595. (Berlin; Reber). **D.K. Hill,** *The Fertile Crescent* (Baltimore, 1944), 25, fig. 22, seem to belong to this early geometric style rather than to that of Late Bronze and Early Iron Age. A. Parrot, *Syria* 29 (1952) 44 ff., adds new pieces and dates the group 1660-1400 B.C. Cf. also Met. Museum New-York no. 32.18.1-5.

⁽²³⁾ Berytus 9 (1942) 57 ff. Bossert, AS,

fig. 575. V. Mililer, fig. 387. Seyrig, *loc. cit.*, 46, rightly says that the "flat" sequence, which we are discussing, runs parallel with the voluminous bronzes of his "Lebanese M_ountain Group".

⁽²⁴⁾ Bossert, AS, 581. TEL II, 100 D-E = 9. Contenau, La civilization phenicienne (1926) 210, fig. 69 = Collection Hoffmann, from "Beyrouth". Related: E. Grant, Ain Shems 1 (1931) pl. II; Megiddo 2 (1948) pi. 236: 24, Level VIII.

⁽²⁵⁾ For the stocky proportions cf. the figutines from Ugarit and Tortosa, Bossert, *AS*, figs. 576, 580. Perhaps related in style: *M egiddo* 2 (1948) pl. 235:23.

⁽²⁶⁾ Bossert, *Ait. A.*, figs. 581-583, *AS*, fig. 577 (with wrong caption).

hands are lost. The neck was broken; it has been soldered and painted over with black paint. Under the seat of the figure there is a stump; it seems possib- le that this was or igin: Illy a peg to fas- ten tihe Hgure to a throne and that it has been cut down (27). The metal is soft, copper - Hke, and markedly rieddiish in color. The surface patina varies from dark brown to a meidiiuni grieien.

The figure wears a sm::tll pointed ciap. Eyebrows arie :ind:icateid by sligihit projections, eyes by two shallow blobs, and the mouth by a slight depression, ali produced by casting. The strai,giht noise, sweeps tiig!ht into -t'hie oU'tHne of the cap; the cars form continuous arcs with the curves rising from the neck. The ehin is heavy and rounded. The figure we: irs a long garment which ends below the knees: no o'ther details are indicated. The shoulders are rounded. The body is quite flat, thinning toward the abdomen, then thickening again. The feet are short and istubby, wi>thout any rendering of details.

The figure is presumably that of a god se.:ited in the "Hittite" attitude with lower arms bent at right angle. We cannot be certain of its attributes. The figurine of a seated god found at Enko- mi (28) holds a vase in one hand.

Despite its unfinished state, the "Seated God" is an 1:mpressive work; students in art courses, to whom it was repeatedly assigned for analysis, have found that i,t embodies definite aesthetic values. it as a much more geo- metric work than the preceding piece; it is also the work of an artist who knows how to obtain an effect of mys-

terious and imposing dignity through the use of viery simple .forms. Thws 1.'he s'tran'ge eilonigiation of the entire body and final sweep of the neck serves to raise the head to a symbolic impor- tance. Outlines are strong and conti- nuous. A simple, slightly bent contour describes the back of the figure, first curving in slightly, then again to the shoulders, briefly at neck, then ri<siing again in the head, to con-verge fin.:1lly upon the apex of the hat. When the light strikes it from above, the head seems well calculated to fill the beholder wi',1!-1 the sense of a lofty and inscrutable divine presence.

The Forge figurine has one close relative, which must have come from the same workshop, in a bronze in Berlin (29). V. Müller has listed other fr gurines seated in the same attitude (30), but the style of most of these se.::ted gods and goddesses is clearly different.

Only one of Müller's examples seems to ha,ve an ancestral relationship- agai:in fhe seaJted "Isihtar" of the Louvre (31). One may wieH envisagie a prototype of this kind being "transla- ted" into t e Anatoli.:1:n Geometric style at some provincial Hittite center (32). Two bronze figurines found in recent excavations at En¹komi display a gene- ral resemblance their construction and while they belong to a ,somewhat different school may well reflect a similar Geo:netric ph:1se or current.

(29) <u>MuÜer:-u8.</u> 130, figs. 409-410, Cf. also S. Przeworski, *Syria* 9 (1928) 273 ff.

⁽²⁷⁾ Cf. the pegs on the seated figures Louvre, n. 24, above; Enkomi, C.F.A. Schaeffer, *ILN* (May 31,,1952) 938, fig. 17 = *Enkomi-Alasia* 1 (1938) pl. 74; *Megiddo* 2 (1948) pl. 235:23, 236:24, restored after *Ain Shems* 1 (1931) pl. 11.

⁽²⁸⁾ *ILN* (May 31, 1952) 938, figs. 14, 17, 18 = *Enkomi-Alasia*, pls. 74 f.

⁽³⁰⁾ *Ibid.* Add Dussaud, figs, 37 (Jerusalem) and 44 (Megiddo). A snake-goddess in the Brooklyn Museum is reproduced in Detroit Institute of Arts, *Bronzes ol the Ancient World* (1947) no. 8. I owe the reference to John D. Cooney. On the posture of arms cf. V. Müller, *AJA* 36 (1932) 13 ff.

⁽³¹⁾ Müller, u8, no. 2.

⁽³²⁾ Examples in stone sculpture are the seated figures of the reliefs of Firaktin and Sipylos, Bossert, *Alt. A.* figs. 550, 561-2,

one of the figures was seated on a I,ittle bronze throne, when found; the Fogg figurine was presumably seated on a similar throne. The figurines have been found in levels dated by the excavator 1150-1100 and 1100-1050 B.

C. (33) The little rounded head of the nater of the two fingurines allies itself ,with the Syro-Phoenician traditions. For Fogg figure, the few available comparison,s of detail point toward a f!ittite are.:i and the time of the Late fluitutitue Emp'ire (14-00 -1200 B.:C. (34). So Httle 1is ¹known, however, a:bout the pe- riod that foGowed the downfall of Hat- tuşaş th:'.:1:t w.: c:all!nioit rul'e out thie p,oi.ssi- bility that Fogg figurine was made in ,tihe twelfith oentury and ,thus closer in time to tihe bronze gods from Enkomi.

(3) Figs. 5, 13 b - c. Bearded Man carrying a r.J.m (?). Museum number 1953.111. Gift of Mrs. Lois Orswell pailey. Provenance unknown. H. with peg 14 cm, without 11.2 cm.

Like the walking man, no. 1, the ":Ram - Bearer" is cast solid and in one piece with its little platform and with an oblong, roughly rectangular pe,g by means of which it w:1's fitted into a base. Most of the surface ,:s covered by brown to ,gre:en corrosfon, which ohs- cure'S some idietarils. Only the lefit lower reg and the hem of garment come close to showing the original surface. To judge from them, the piece was care-

f ully smoothed. Where exposed, the me.tal appears somewhat darker and }larder than in the preceding pieces. 'fhe details are ibooled rather vigorously

with fairly large cutting chisels of at least two sizes. There is a long groove on the :back running from near top of head to edge of garment. This ,groove may have served to fasten gold leaf as in no. 1; but it may equally well have served some other purpose - for example, it may have been used to athch a long ,tress ,of haiir (35).

The man is clad in a long garment wiitth a hem, wlhii•ch is decoraitied lby iviertical strokes. A vertical line may indicate the central fold or edge of g.ırment. On his head he wears a peculiar angular head - gear which seems to be tied to a rlbbon running across the head from ear to ear (F g. 13 c). 'fihere .ire some iindistinct strokes on the "crown". He is bearded, but has apparently no moustache. Eyebrows are raised, eyes deeply :hollowed. The lips are raised; ahov, e.the right Jip ,i s prob,al: }ly accideent:11. With his left hand - more a paw than a hand - he cla, sps an animal to his chest; the an mal is so crudely fashioned that it is not clear wihether it has ears or horns; it has a fait, short tail, hence perhaps intended to show a ram rather than a calf. A hole is pierced through the man'ıa right hand whic'h ihe 'ex,tenids forward. The object which ,he carried may have been a staff. The back of the head and "crown" i,s quite flat, the body nearly so.

The proporti.:ons of this figurine differ markedly from the preceding examples. The head is not merely large - it is like a huge mask; the body is outlined in the shape of an attentuated bell; the legs are two stumpy pillars. The four major parts - ihead; arms, animal, and sholders; garmented ody; and feet - seem to be added t,o each other. A ,similar "additive" impression is

49

⁽³³⁾ **C.F.A.** Schaeffer, *ILN* (**May** 31, $_{9}$ 52) 936, figs. 12-18 = *Enkomi* - *Alasia* 1 (1938) pis. 63, 71-75. The figure from **Me**giddo, dated ca. 1350-1100 B.C., shows a similar geometric trend. Dussaud, fig. 44 G. 1. $_{1}$ 60 G. $_{1}$ 70 Megiddo 2 (*OIP* 62, 1948) pis. 237 f. Cf. also *Ain Shems* 1 (1931) pi. 11.

⁽³⁴⁾ For head and headgear cf. Bossert, *ttlt A.* figs. 606, 618 f., from Arapkir and **A-lişar.**

⁽³⁵⁾ On the "Syrian tress" worn by men as well as women cf. V. Müller, 108, pi. 37 f. Hanfmann, *Altetruskische Plastik* (1936) 31, n. 99; 109. *Arch. Anz.* 50 (1935) 51.

awakiened by the ncliviiidual • feaitures- the large and rude eyes, big nose, lum- py ears, islashieid moiutih, as weH as hanidis and feet seem to relate themselves much more loosely to the figure than in the preceding examples. Yet this rude and loose geometry, this imindiepiemlieint the parts - especially eyes and hands hostows when the figure that figure and hands hostows.

,impact of important parts - especia:lly eyes an,d hands - bestows upon the f,i-gure some,thing of the quality of a primitive idol, a somnambulent force, which impels the ram - bearer to move theslittantidy, uniconscii,outslly, a:lmoist agalinist lhis wilL

The most significant detail of the ;:nimal - bearer is ıhis rectangular head - gear. it may be taken as analogous to the feather helmet known Syrian warrior figurines of the second millennium (36) or it may be intended a feather - crown. Α rectangular head - piece is worn bry a silver figurine from Emesa, (37), dated by V. Müller around 1000, by Dussaud (38) around 1800 B.C. Similar crowns are worn by goddesses (39). If we assume the he,:adpiece of the animal - lbearer to be a crown, its exact shape still remains doubtful. If we interpret its flatness "literally", then this head - gear was a flat piece, presumably made of metal and tied to a riibbon - something the solar crown on a basalt head from Si (40). But if the artist simplified and flattened it because it is seen only from the front, then a circular crown wais intended. in this case, it should be compared with the "featihered" crown by human and lion - bodied demons in die reliefs from Tell Halaf and Malat- ya (41).

T:hie only pecufa.:iiity iin it!hie cfoa:k worn by the animal - bearer is the lack of a belt. I't is rat'her ishort, but other-wise conforms down to details of stylii- zation of the hem to the garments seen on moinumenits iof La:te H:i;titiite scuLp- ture (42).

The hair of the ram - bearer is combed forward and is cut short over the foreihead. This arrangement as well as the beard worn without a moustache con be readily parelleled in Late Hittite sculpture (43). If the figure had origi- nally a long tress attached to the groo- ve !ln its bac'k, then its general effect w,ou,M riesemtbl'e siomewihait thie appearianc'e of the ioroinzie firguiries of 'thie "Le- hainiesie Mouinitain" group (44).

The motif of the animal - hearer has a foing hi, sito y in the Niear East w'Mc'h h'cUs heien itrieaiteid by E. D. Van Buren and A. Parrot (45). The animalbearers closest iin both time and space figures to the Fog.g example are the depicted on the rdiefs from Sencir-H (46), but tihie ciariryiing ,geisitiure dis • significantly diff.erent. Wihile our fü,gure grasps t'he animal to his ohest with

⁽³⁶⁾ Bossert, AS, figs. 575, 6ro f. Forada, loc. cit.

⁽³⁷⁾ L. Speelers, *Syria* 3 (1922) 134, pi. 27 = V. Müller, 110 f., pi. 39, fig. 389.

⁽³⁸⁾ *Op cit.*, 64, fig. 32. He calls the crown "deformation de la double couronne egyptienne".

⁽³⁹⁾ Bossert, AS, figs. 572, 1086, cf. fig. 660.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Bossert, AS, fig. 517. This is much later, but ritual head-ornaments are tenacious in survival.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Bossert, AS, figs. 41, 466. E. Akurgal, Spiithethitische Bildkunst (1949) 125, ff., pl. 25 a = Bossert Alt. A., fig. 774.

⁽⁴²⁾ E. Akurgal, *op cit.*, 30 f., pls. 26, 40, 42 b. Bossert, *AS*, figs. 442, 501; *Alt. A.*, figs. 771 f., 812, from Tell Ahmar, Sencirli, Tell Halaf, Kargamiş, Maraş, Malatya.

⁽⁴³⁾ Bossert, *Alt A.*, fig. 948. Akurgal, *op. cit.*, 25 f.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Bossert, AS figs. 588-591,607-609. V. Müller, 107 f., figs. 376-386, 420-422.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ E. D. Van Buren, *Orientalia* 20 (1951), 16-69. A. Parrot, *Melanges Syriens Offerts* **a** *M. Rene Dussaud I*, Haut comm.

de la Rep. Française en Syrie et au Liban, Service des Antiq., *Bibl. Arch. et Hist.*, 30 (Paris, 1939) 171-182.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Bossert, Alt A., figs. 910, 954.

his left hand, the Sencirli bearers carry the animal on their shoulders. This method and the carrying of animals by their horns, foot, and neck is com- mon in scenes on the seals of the First and Second Syri.an and Mitannian styl.e (47). During thiese periirods ithre anii mal is also sometime•s carried on the extended forearm (48). But closest to the gesture fingure is that of of our a second millenniium statue from Su- sa (49) and figuress on seal:s of ithe Ak- kadian. Late Old Babylonian, and Mi-tannian :pier.ioids (50). Here itihe fügures are worshippers and the animal an of-fering.

That the type continued to be represented in:l,a:ter per:iods of Nie:air Easite,rn art is shown by the genii on Assyr,ian reliefs from Nimrud (51) from the time of Aissurnazirpal (883 - 859 B.<C.). Thiese are clos,e, in rtiii:ne:to lihe Fogg Hgurine; and since other resemblances are also found between the Fogg figurine and the various "demons" of the Late Hitt,1-te relief,s, it is possible tihat our bronze whh distinctli"Ve hea:d - ge,ar, is:i,n!te•ndieid as a divine personage of inferior rank.

Thait the meaning of 't'he itype is still that of an offering is indicated by the Assyrian genii as well as by the imitations of these type in Cypriote sculpture, where the animal - be.arers are worshippers (52).

It is an interesting problem where and when the same type may first have acquired the connotation of a "Good Shepherd", of a divine being rescuing and protecting the animals of the flocks. For this appeairs to be the meaning of the earliseist r.epr:eisenita!tion reisembl:ing our type in Greek art - tihe colossal kriophorns in Thasos is often thought to lbe Hermes as protector of the flocks (53).

Stylistically, more or les•s distant resemblances may be found in a number of Near Eastern bronzes, but about the general affiliation there can be little doubt. The rude. additive Geometric style appears to succeed the more balanced, polished, and unified style of the second millennium Eeastern in Anatolia, North Syria and the Khabur region, if we except those schools which were infüU':!nce1:f dir.ectly by 1e11the,r thie Egyptianizing or the

Assyrianizing arts. Alre?idy some terracotta heads from Ugarit (54) appear to have ithis same type of face as the Fogg animal bearer. The style is clearly that of the

⁽⁴⁷⁾ E. Forada, Corpus of Ancient Near Eastern Seals in North American Collections, (The Bollingen Series 14) (New-York, 1948), pi. 137, fig. 910; pl. 140, fig. 931; pl. 141, fig. 932; pl. 143, fig. 937. Frankfort, Cylinder Seals (London, 1939) pi. 43, a.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Frankfort, H., *op. cit.*, pl. 41, fig. o. Page 270, text-fig. 84.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ R. de Mecquenem, *Memoires de la Delegation* en Perse, 7, pl. **XXVI**, fig. 1 a., b., c. = Parrot, **A.**, *Joc. cit.* p. 177, fig. 5. Cf. also D. Mackay, *Guide Archaeol. Coll. Univ. Beirut* (1951) pl. 4:8, a bronze "shepherd".

⁽⁵⁰⁾ H. Frank fort, op. cit., pl. 20 b, pl. 26:1. E. Porada, op. cit., pl. 29, fig. 189, pl. 39, 245, pl. 58, fig. 400, 404, pl. 156, fig. 1022.

⁽⁵¹⁾ **A.** Layard, *Monuments of Nineveh* Vol. 1 (London 1849), pl. 47, fig. 4. (Embroidery design).

⁽⁵²⁾ Fo-:- instance, E. Gjerstad, *Swedish Cyprus Expedition* 4:2 (1948) pl. 2, Arsos. P. Dikaios, *Guide Cyprus Museum* (1947) 66, pl. 16:1, 600-560 B.C. This link does not seem to be noted in Gjestad's discussion, though he notes the type in which the animal is carried on the shoulders (*op. cit.*, 344). Cf. Bossert, *AS*, figs. 47, 49 f. Cf. Ch. Blinken-berg, *Lindos* 1 (1931), 435, pl. 72, nos. 764-6; pl. 94, no. 2088, Cypriote; pl. 82, no. 1882, Greek.

⁽⁵³⁾ Ch. Picard, *Manuel de la sculpture grecque* 1 (1935), 560, fig. 193. F. Matz, *Geschichte der griech, Kunst*, 1950, pl. u7. In the type where the animal is carried or the shoulders the meaning is stili that of an offering.

Matz, op. cit., 176, pl. 82. (Neugebauer, Katalog Berlin 1 (1931) no. 158, pl. rg), 481, pl. 286 a ("Pre-Daedalic").

first phase of Late Hittite art, compa-rable to ,sculP'ture of such centers as Sencirli, Kargamiş, Malatya, and Tell Halaf (55). The time range may ibe guessed art as 1000 - 800 B.C., before the onset of strong Ass, vrian influence upon this area.

(4). Fi-g. 6 a. T erracotta Head of a Man with Point,ed Cap. H. 3.7 cm. Lent hy Perlie Dyar Chas,e. (TL. 10571). Formerly in the collect ion of Howard Car ter.

off at neck. Broken (Traces of paint?). The head is moulded free-hand; ears are tacked on separately. Features are incised with a stick He,:aid and cap are not separated; the large .incis.ed lines o,ver the eyes are probably intended as evebrows. This gav and head with its aquiHne nose, small, smiling moutih, and almond eves is a masterly .sketch of a quality rare among Near Eastern terracottas

The lii tt'le .riou'n.ded 0V1al face, urlbane ratihier t'h:an füer,ce, wouM ,s,uffike to indicate 1that this is a Phoenician in the strict sense of the word. Similar endly, smiling faces are encountered among the Phoenician ivories. A head from Megiddo iis as early as the thirteenth (?) century (56), but in overall proportions the Chase terracotta is even closer to tihe fine Phoenician ivory head fouinid, in P.eriachora ai:i:d daiteid iin die seventh century B.C. (57) Until better paraHels are forthcoming ar.e iin-

its 'Interest as one 'Of the .Bevond rare Rhoenician terracottas that are real w.or:kis of ar.t. the lhead is also a sii:gin\i.ficant document for the history of facial expression .in art. As far as we know. noibody has as y,eit written a history of the ,smile; ,it is often regarded as typical of the optimistic attitude toward man 1:'hat was first portrayed 1by the archaic isculpitors of Grie,eoe. Indieieid, has used the alleged smile of the ivory goddess f.rom Minet el Beiida to argue that this remarkable work must be Mycenaean Greek rat'her tJhan Phoenician. and priesumaibily ıthe f,h-1ely ,goididesses found in Mycenae are 'to be foterpreted as heralds of archaic smiles - though authentic Mycenaean fac·es are certainly not noted for gayety and lively ch.::rm (59). Yet Valentin Müller has pointed out that there are some Mesopotamian and Imperial Hittite examples and he rightly sensed that Phoenician ivories must have pre-sented the first examples of optimistic smiling people to .tihe arc·haic Grneks (60). The Phoenioians have been roubbed of much of ıthıeiir p:rıe¹stigıe; a t hıiısıtori•anıs and a:rchaieologists are annoyed by their unabashed eclecticis.m. With the wealth of Near Eeastern material we shave now, it may not be amiss ito point out 1: hat there is

cllinne,d to ,p.liac,e itlhe Ohaise ihea:d in ;the eight'h or seventh century B.C. and regard it as a work by 1:'he same type of artist as those who produced the later Phoenfoian ,i rilieis (58).

⁽⁵⁴⁾ Bossert, AS, fig. 634, cf. also fig. 1093, from Khirbet el Medineh.

⁽⁵⁵⁾ Bossert, AS, figs. 44Ş-457; Alt. A., figs. 903-904; 955 f. The type and expression seem particularly close to Teli Halaf, e.g., AS, figs. 455, 464, 471.

⁽⁵⁶⁾ Bossert, AS, fig. 1114 = G. Loud.

⁽⁵⁷⁾ Bossert, AS, fig. 809. R.D. Barnett, JHS 68 (1948) 5 f., pi. 3 c, thinks that this head does not belong "to any known school." For the cap of the Chase head, cf. the bron-zes from Karpasc, Bossert, AS, fig. 158 (Ber-lin), terracotta from Beyrouth, fig. 658.

⁽⁵⁸⁾ Cf. ivory head from Nimrud, F. Basmachi, "Nimrud Excavations" *Sumer*, vol. 8 (1952) 196 ff., fig. 2.

⁽⁵⁹⁾ Dussaud, 85, fig. 48 C. F. A. Schaeff er, *Ugaritica* 1 (1939) frontispiece and pi. II. A.J.B. Wace, *Mycenae* (1949) fig. 101-103. The terracotta head from Byblos, Bossert *AS* fig. 641 = M. Dunand, *Fouilles de Byblos*, Atlas 1. (1937) pi. 51, no. 1302 is certainly friendly enough-and it is not Greek.

⁽⁶⁰⁾ V. Mililer, 101, 130, 217 ff.

in much of Late Phoenician art a cert2,in 'humane liveliness, the liveliness of a sea - faring and enterprising peop-lenot only in their sea - faring and commerC'e and alphalbet, but in some 'as-peots of their attitude toward life, the Phoenicians show themselves as fore-runners of ,the Gree'ks.

(5). Fli•g. 6 a. Terriacio'tita "Papsuka-1": Mu•seum numbier 1952.42. Gift 10f Pirofessor Vladimir G. Simkhovitch. H. 8.3 cm.

Broken off below the waist.

This terracotta relief plaque was cast from a standard mould and is one of mainy of a ·s·imilia:r itype. He ;gri31spis ain alabastr, on lby the neck with his right hand while the left palim supports the lower part of the ase. The dree.ss iis a long robe beltied waist. The mantle .appears to be at the formed by a series of horizontal friinged bands. The upper part of the coiffure, which falls in full curls upon his shoulders, is formed by a series of ridges radiating from tihe top of the head. A moustaC'he curled at the ends, a smooth beard cut sharply at the bottom, .,md large ,eyes with promi- nent lids are other distiniguishing characteristics.

Close parallelis to this figure have theen found at such sit'es as Balbylon and Uruk (61), in levels and buildings belonging to the period of Assyrian domination of Southern Mesopotamia. Consequently, our v.::1se carrier can be dated about 650 B.C. The s:gnificance of these figur,es has been discussed by E. D. Van Buren, who surmises that they may have be en intended as lesser

divinities of the court of Ea, the Lord of the Watery Deep (62).

(6). Figs. 7 - 9. Bronze Head of a Bull. Museum number 1943.1321. Gren- ville L. Winthrop Bequest. Provenance un'lmown. H. 7.6 cm. Ge attiest windth iin- ciluding horn a:nid iear 12.8 cm. Windth between bases of horns 7.6 cm. Thick- niess of bronzie 0.6 - 1 om. Wreight: 1535 grams.

The material of rthe head and the inserted horns is discussed below in the Appendix by Rutherford J. Gettens. The natura! color is very golden; the ancient dark green patina survives only in a few spots, as the head w.3.'s through-ly cleaned in modern times before co-ming to the Museum. Its present black to redbrown color is due to natura! tarnishing of metal. The right horn and the tip of the left horn are missing; the left ear is broken and the right ear is battered.

According ,to B. Bearzi, the piece was cast in lost wax process. it was then tihoroughly tooled and chiselled. The hair its left as cast, but eyes and muzzle have been carefully worked.

The piece was cast with a "step-back" around the neck (63) so that there is an inner collar for insertion into a hole. Rivets were driven through this collar from inside, fastening the neck to the object into which the head was set. A nui:nher of tihese anci,ent rivets are preserved and are viisibJ.e in Figs. 7 - 9.

The head is large and he,:::vy, the for::ns very full. The engraved forms are subordinate to cast, plastic shapes. The most prominent fe tures are; the raised rectangle of hair which begins behind the horns and runs down to half - muzzle. The h::ir is stylized as waves crossed four times by bands of curls, on neck,

⁽⁶¹⁾ R. Koldewey, "Die Tempel von Babylon und Borsippa", *WVDOG* 15 (1911) 33, fig. 50. J. Jordan, "Uruk - Warka", *WVDOG* 51 (1928) pl. 78 a-b. E. D. Van Buren, *Clay Figurines* (1g30) 196 ff., nos. 959-963.

⁽⁶²⁾ The Flowering Vase and the God with Streams (1933) 101, fig. 56 (Cassite?).

on top of head, over forehead, and over muzzle.

A,s!ystem of strap-like details links hair to muzzle. The eyes are nearly circular and rise toward center; the upper comer is drawn iback slightly. A mar,ked tear-duct is sh:a:rpened by a graven line. The eyebrows, shaped li'ke sau,sages, al'e ri,üsied and routlined by inc,i,sions. The two sides of the neck meet in a sharp ridge. The total impressi,on i:is one of hea:vy, ma:s·sivie power.

When the bull was first studied is seemed to compare whh sim!i'lar Ur,: irtean bull heads. Substequent vi,ew of pieces in Ankara and the British Museum proved that the Fogg Museum piece is larger, much heavier, of different metal, and in a more voluminious and philstic style of the Fogg piece.

The throne of **iKing** Ashurnazirpal as depicted on a relief from Nimrud in the British Museum shows the top horizontal member decorated with two bull's head (64). As far as can be determined from the relief it would appear that the original objects were modeled in ·fulil plais,t'ic f:orms wi'th iemphaisiis on the larg,e bulding eyes (Fig. 10) As the stylizat:ion of the veins and the folds of fü esh on t:he muzzlie aire sculp'turie:diiin relief, 'it may be assumed that the original heads were treated similary (65). The parallel to the Fogg head is striking, •even though our bronze lacks the ring of curls about the neck and the banded p.attern above dle ,eye prominent on the relief. The ",set - back" around the neck of the bronze head sug,gests that it was intended to be inserted into a straight plane such as a part of a throne rather ·than into a curving one such ,a's the side of a cauldron.

Two groups of bronze ibull heads follow the same compositional scheme as the Fogg piece. One group has been shown by Barnett to be Urartean and dated in the e,ighth and the seventh centuri-es B.C. They were set in winged frames and attached to cauldrons. The pieces come from Topr.akkale and Erzincan (66). The Fogg bull head is clearly differentiated from these in mat-erial (a more .golden bronze) and style. Throughout, the "Toprakkale - Urartean" bull heads emphasize details linear engraving, for example, the collar of curls around the neck, the circular eye, and the rectangle on top of the muzzle.

Very much closer to the Fogg Museum piece are three bull heads in the Louvre, Cleveland, and a Midwestern A:nerican collection (formerly D. Kelekian) (67). Two, of these (Louvre and

⁽⁶²⁾ It was not carrid quite around the left side. Fige. 8-9 show clearly where the setback stops.

⁽⁶⁴⁾ E. Budge, Assyrian Sculptures in the Biritish Museum, Reign of Ashournazir- pal (London, 1914), pl. XXXI. An ivory lion head from Nimrud, British Museim 91884 resembles the Fogg head in style. Assyrian influence probably accounts for similar use of bull heads on the throne of King Barre- k_ub of Sencirli. Bossert, Alt A., fig. 952.

⁽⁶⁵⁾ If the original heads weve traea- ted in terms of incised lines, the relief wit- hout a doubt would be executed in a fashion similar .to that employed in indicating the embroidery patterns on the robes of the king.

⁽⁶⁶⁾ R. D. Barnett, *Iraq* 12 (1950) 1 ff., figs. 1-2, pl. 16. *Id* and Nuri Gökçe, *AnSt* 3 (1953) 129, pis. 13 f., 19:1. D. K. Hill, *The Fertile Crescent* (Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore, 1944) 31, figs. 25, belongs to this group. B. A. Kuftin, *Arartskiy Kolumbariy u podoşvy Ararata* (1943) 41, pl. 11:5, (from Kürdistan) is slightly different.

^{(67) 1} Louvre. Collections Sultan Mecid, F. Sarre. Kuftin, 40 f., pl. 10:2, 11:4. F. Sarre, Die Kunst des alten Persien (1923) pl. 45. Persian Art, An 1/Iustrated Souvenir (Burlington House, 1931) pl. 9, no. 10. R. Dussaud, Bul/. Musees de France (1933) 139. G. Contenau, Manuel d'archeol. orient. 4 (1947) 2266, fig. 1289.

^{2.} Cleveland. Collections Mahomed Alla Mirza, Christian R. Holmes. Kuftin, 41. Pope, *A Survey of Persian Art* (1938) pl.

CleveLand) were found by men from the village of Gusci in the Salmas Distrfot on Urmia Lake. R. D. Barnett, who has traced their history through a publicadon by B. A. Kuftin, has very placed his material at our di, sposal. Kuftin's source report, ed that the two bull :heiads were found with the skeleton of a bull that 'had been filled with wax as well as with a Urartean bronze belt and some unspecified silver vessels. The bull heads alle'gedly formed part of two complete figures of bulls, which were sinashed by the finders. The (ex-Kelekian) lbull head is sa:id to have be,en found in Persepol,is. Sarre had conjectured that hi,s pi,ece (now Louvre) inight that e decorated a throne. Kuftin arguied t'mt ithey ieiit'hier wer,e par:t iof bull figure,s or were mounted "on tubular ,objects". Barnett (lby letter) states that they are "obviously from a bronze crater". He surmi,ses that all three pieces were cas t in one mould, an opinion also expressed by some dealers, who had an opportunity of inspecting all three p,ieceis.

On the piiec,e which is now in a. Midwestern collection, the outer base of the has been filed down -in a very slight curve, periha'Ps too slight to fit a cauldron. Cast in one piece with the head, there is a pe,g on top of neck; it thickens upward. The!e are two peculiar projections fr.om sides of neck tooled, the o ther jagged. Wi-thin the head and rece-ssed by ca. 2 cm. againt,s the level of the outer neck is a rough "inner collar", perhaps of a different meitail. l:t ini!i:giht bie 'tihe 'Ilemnant of a "metal tube" which originally held the head in place. There are no traces of

rivets or rivet holes, such as are seen on the neck of the Fogg piece. This evi-dence for original ,setting is inconclusive; the existence of the peg may ibe a point against regarding the piece as a vase .attachment.

Characteristic resemblances bet- ween theise heads and ;the Fogg bull head may lbe seen in the plastic treat- ment of thee mane, tJhe "sausage" form of eyebriows, and thie ipllaSitic ,fiolids ait ithe tip of the muzzle. They are slightly larger, the proportions are islightly more elongated and such de'tails as curls of the mane are thinner. The eyes are nearly circuila:r.

The Louvre - Cleveland - Midwes term Colleötion piece,s were claimed as Urar-'t: 1ean 1by Kufitiin 1ainid lhil'S opiniioin : iis 1shaire, d by Barnett. Kuftin also suggested that they were the models Barnett's "Topra:kkale - Urartean" type. The Fogg piece seems to us a step nearer the original inspiration. We are inclined to re,giard iit as the Assyrian model the Louvre - Cleveland 1gr1oup as very close, probably contempori:::ry Urartean imitation,s. The Louvre and the veland pi,eces have been called Achaemenid on the hasis of their resemblance to the bull capitals from Per, sepoliis (68), buit we ihave inio-ciertaiin -examp'les .of isimiilar brion e lbull lhieaidis f:rom the Acha•emenid ·era.

This ma:gnificent •type of Near Eastern bull hea:d considera:ble vogue in Cyprus, Etruria, and early Greece (69).

^{108.} Id., *Masterpieces of Persian Art* (1945) pl. 20. *Archaeology* 6 (1953) _199 (photo).

^{3.} **A** Midwestern Collection, *USA*. Formerly D. Kelekian. Kuftin, 41, pl. 10:1. **A.U.** Pope, *Cahiers d'art* 6 (1931) 84 (photo). T. Borenius, *Pantheon* 7:1 (1931) 91 (photo).

⁽⁶⁸⁾ For example, E. Herzfeld, *Iran in the Ancient East* (1941) pl. 60 a, and for similar stylization, H. Otto, *ZFAss.* 14 (1944) 9 f., fig. 1.

⁽⁶⁹⁾ E. Kunze, "Verkannter orientalischer Keselschmuck aus dem argivischen Heraion", G. Behrens, ed., *P. Reinecke Festschrift* (1950) 96 ff., pl. 16:2, looks a poor derivate of the Fogg type.

Cyprus: **G.M.A.** Richter, *Cat. Bronzes M etropolitan Museum, New-York* (1951)

348 f., nos. n82-n87. E. Buschor *Altsami-*

(7)Figs. 11-12, 13 d. Bronze Figu- rine ofa Win·ged Goait. Museum num- ber 1949.92. **H.** 10.7 cm. L. 8.3 cm. Published: American Institute for Iraniian Art, *Exhibition of Persian Art* (1940) p. 302 G. Parke - Bernet Gallery, *Joseph Brummer Sale* 2 (Miay 11-14, 1949) 24, no. 108.

The piece is cast in one with four short peg,s which issue from its hoofs. A ibi,t of right hind leg its missing. Tech-nically, it is somewh: a't puzzling. By i,ts weight, it feels as if it were cast solid; yet there its a hollow space - at least 3 cm. ilong - ônisi, die thie goait is itie, a-r iin which a metal fragment is heard statling. A neat hole near tail connects with this hollow.

B. Be,'.l'rzi has sugge,sted that the goa:t was ca:st over a suspended core. Bit•s .extracted from fhe interior have not been identified. M1:ss Elizabeth Jones of the F,ogg Museum's Conservation Department reports that the material is not lead. Another puzzle is prese'Ili:,ed 'by •thie h:igis. In 'the iopieni:ing on the ,r!igh,t h:n'di:•eig, whie·i:ie !Vhe ,pi:iecie of bron· ze has broken •away, there was found under ,:: surfac,e layer of eartih a "filling" of bright metal. According to Moiss Jone•s the sample t•e,sts for copper, but results for lead and 'tiin were negative. The surface of the figurine has been carefully cleaned. There are, however, in many spots accreüons of brown iron rust,;oithierwisie itlbie coloir of tihe palt-faia is a beautiful dark green. Presumably it was buried together with an iron obj,ect.

A11 major features are cast, but the strap - like outlines around the shoul-ders and hind quarters and the peculi:.ar small "back-swept" wings -a're incised by chi,sel. The ,general appearance of the goat is sturdy, alert, appealing; it is a stockier and stubbonner animal than the grac• ful wild -goo is ,of "Glas•s·:,c" Achae-mened or of Ori:•entailfa:ng Gre·ek art.

The eye is big and baneful, the forelegs dig in, as if he was guarding against an attumput to drag h:ilm away.

Among the details, the anigular form: ition of horns is noteworthy; also the beard which falls -riighit onto the chest; and tihe pe, culiiar little flaps which grow from the foreshoulders just under the wing s. The male sexuial organ was indicated.

Even 11;:hough the wings look very much like an afterthought on the part of the artist, they are •there - and signify that this Hyii,n:g goat its no.t an oridirui.ry mountain animai.

In a generial way, the F-ogg willd goat stands lbetween the Luri.stan bron- zes and animal of t'he "Classic" (Perse- polis) peirio,d of Achaemenid Art. It is •very much sturdier and bulkier than the Luristan examples (70), less demoniac and more of a real animal. While he shares wit'h Achaemenid work some 1important features of stylizations • the outHning by "straps" for example; yet most Achaemenid metal animals (71) appe.: ir to be of sHghter build and more nı::1:tural form. His bulky angularity is found to some degree in two Iranian 'bronzes fr.om Azerbaijan, formerly in ,the E. J. Holme•s Collec'tion and now ;given •to the Fine Arts Museum, Bos-ton (72). They seem to display a simi-lar lack of structural articul: tion bet- ween the hody and leg,s of the animal. There is also similarity of detail: the

sche Standbilder (1935) 58, figs. 224 f. **P. J.** Riis, *Acta Archaeol.* 10 (1939) 5 ff., 19, no. 9, 8, calls the **New-**York pieces Etruscan.

(70) A. U. Pope, Survey of Persian Art, vol. 4, pl. 70.

(71) Pope, Survey of Persian Art, pl. 11. **H.** Otto, Zf A (1944) 9 ff., fig., 1.

(72) A. U. Pepe, Masterpieces of Persian Art (New-York, 1945) pl. 17 b, c, attributed them to :{,uristan. M. Bahrami, Cat. of Works of Iranian Art from American Col/ections Supplementing Iranian, attributes to Azer-baijan, ca IX century.

jects between the forelegs.

Other details such as the angular horms (73) can ibe paraHe'led by Lu- r:1st1an bronzes. Tlhiey may also be parallde,d from Ziwiy,e (74 a), but t:he goait downwarrd curving wang, the allower pair't of which iis pat-terned by a series of viertical parallel lines, is most perplexing. Luri, stan, Z,iwiye, and A,3sy.ri :: 11 1d10 no•t seiem !to offer any outst, anding examples. A pec-toral from Ziwiye depicts winged an:m:rls with somewhat similar patterns on their bodies, but their winigs turn up- ward (74 b). The growing from the foreshoulder,s little flaps •suggest similar styliz, artions on the lions from Arsfom • Taslı (75). The parallel hatching about the legs can likewise matched on certain Luristan piece s (76). This sty- lization also -: 1: ppears in a group of bron-zes, of which one can be daited by its associ-a:ti.on with "Assur Attaschen" - a lion forme rly in the Baumeville and Duthuit Ooli: ec'.fons (77). If thie last comparison is just, the bronze workshop that made the lion and the goat ,:ictive around 700 B.C. Its products may havie ri;:iache-d Greec,e; .but ;its own location canne,t be stafely determined.

heard falling onto the chest wb:ch pro- REPORT ON THE TECHNICAL EX-**AMINATION** OF BRONZE HEAD OF A NEAR EASTERN BULL, FOGG **MUSEUM** ACC. NO. 1943.1321 BY RUTHERFORD J. GETTENS

> held i,s hollow and appears to have been cast. The metal throughout seems •sound and it is only superlicially corroded. 'Dhe S: dies of -thie hollow iinte-6or are covered with a thin hver of red and green copper corrosion product. The red is crystalline cuprous oxide (cupri,te) and the green is chidly b sic copper chloride (atacamite). The pre- sence of chloride indicates the object ca:rrJ frcm an ar1:d re:gion. The ex-t-erior of the object, however, is smooth and little pitted. It is mostly natural bronze in color intenup:ted wiith are,a's of black tarnish and stre,aks of red ,and small particles of green. There is evidence that the outer surface was originally corroded like the ,interior, but the corrosion products have largely been abraded or dissolved away.

In 1950 the ext-:mt left horn became detached; this necessitated repair. Tihe right horn wa,s already missing. It was seen that the hom had pr,eviously been detached; soft solder and glue around the base indicated the repair was recent. Both base,s were formed square and flush with the he:id. Each horn base is hollow for a 1/4 inch. The hottom of depth of about the recess is a rough hronze surface a,s if 1.1 plug had be en driven into the horn socket an had been broken off. It was at first thought that the base of the left horn was shaped as a plug and had been driven into the head and had !:-eter been broken off. The irregular broken base end of the horn and of the broken interior seemed to regist, er. A hole was drilled into the b:1:se of the horn and into the floor of the recess and repair was made with a

⁽⁷³⁾ Pope, Survey, pl. 70.

⁽⁷⁴⁾ a. A. Godard, Le Tresor de Ziwiye (Haarlem, 1950) 1,9, fig. 39.

⁽⁷⁴⁾ b. Godard, op. cit., 25 ff., fig. 15, 16, 21-23.

⁽⁷⁵⁾ Thureau-Dangin et al. Ars/an-Tash, Haut-Commissariat de la fö publique Fran-çaise en Syrie et au Liban, Service des An-tiquites. Bibliotheque archeologique et historique 16, pl. 6

⁽⁷⁶⁾ Pope, Survey, pl. 32, A.

⁽⁷⁷⁾ W. Fröhner, Coll. A. Duthuit, Bronzes antiques (1897) 8, no. 6, pl. 10. He says that the figure is cast solid.

brass dowel and with a cement made from ,vinyl ,acetate and chalk

The borings fr:om the dowel holes were given to Dr. H. C. Harrison of Rhod:e falanid State 'Oolile,gie for spiec- trograpihic analysis. Tihe analyse,s sho.- wed that the metal 'of the horn is diffe- rent ,in compo,shion from the head. The metal of the horn contains, in addition to copper and tin as principal constitu- tenits, . Iso a fair amount 'of zinc, whe- reas the metal from what appears ito he the stump of horn hais no more than a trace of zinc. His fint estimates were as follows:

- 1 Me•tal from stump •of horn in head: Cu, Sn over 10 70; Ni, 1-0 %; Ca, Pb, Ag, As, Sb, Bi, 0.1-0.0 1 %; Si, Al, Mg, Mn, Ti, Au, 1Cd, 0.01-0.001 %-
- 2 Metal from horn: Cu, Sn, o ir 10 %; Zn, 10-1 %; Cr, Ni, 1-0.1 %; Si, Ca, Pb, Ag, As, Sb, Bi, 0.1-0.01 %; Al, Mg, Mn, Ti, Au, Cd, 0.01-0.001 9f.

Further s=1:mples for spectrographic analisis were taken both from the horn and from various par'ts of the head to check the preliminary results. These results li'kewise show that the amount of zinc alloy of the bom is greater, than in the alloy of the head, although in this *series the amount of zinc (1-0.1%) reported in the horn is no't so gre.a*t as that reported above.

To check the question about 1the possibility that the metal in the holes of the horn base,s are stumps of horn driven in from outside and niot part of the head, x - rays of the head were ta- ken at ,the Water'town (Mass.) Arsenal through the courtesy of Mr. F. L. Br.:?'ckley.

Several films takein with exposures up to 400 KV, 5 milleamperes and 3 minute,s showed that the metal in ithe region of the horn sockets is solid and

the re is no evidence that ,situmps of hornis exist.

it appears then that the single horn was clast s-eparat,e f-rom ıthe addit,i on ito theling of different compositiion the met-:al of t'he horn seems more yellow (lbrassy) :than the metal of the heiaid. On close examina:ti1on of :thie harın it was seeen the att int bearres partche.s of the same a:braded - down cuprite and green thaat one can see on the head. it ,is also interesting that with some magnification one cam see on itllie surface of the frac, ture at the 'tip of the horn a dendritic structure wthich shows thia:t it is cast metal.

Attention w:11s called to patch - like areas on the hoUow inteTior oppositie the horns; removal of he pa:tima i;n ·small are,as 1showed no difference in metal color. Spectrographic analysis of the drilling ,taken here showed it has the same comp,osi-tion as dri-llings from other parts of the head.

The t'hick portiion of the neck which belirs ,three rivetis wais 'examined. The edge was ,soraped. It appears that the thick rim i,s a bro·kien - -off piece of the body or form to which the head was joiined with rhneitis. 'Dhie lower leidige however, which wou'ld be viS:iblie on the side, cannot be seen. presumably cause of deep corrosion whkh has cemented the .seams together. Spectrogr,<l!phiic a:naly:s-is of a isamplie of .n'ttached piece show that iiit has approximately the same oompos'ition as drillings from 'the head. The heads of tihe rivets are cove,red heavily on 1the inside wifh corrosi'on product.

it is felt that the object is old and that it is genuinely and deeply corroded.

The presence of the element nickel in all specimens supports, but does not prove, the supposed Near Easstern ori-gin of the head.